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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this first technical report for the School Without Walls project in Washington D.C. is to analyze and provide an overview and explanation of the structural systems including the foundation, floor framing plans and lateral systems. A list of materials, material properties, and building codes used for analysis are also provided in this report. It is very important to recognize, that for the evaluation of the structural system, the most current building code, IBC 2006, was utilized whereas the structure was designed using IBC 2000.

A detailed lateral load analysis for seismic and wind loads was conducted according to ASCE 7-05. An expansion joint separates the existing 127 year old school from the new addition that was constructed. An expansion joint also divides the new addition, therefore creating essentially 3 buildings and 3 lateral systems. The division of the lateral systems can be viewed on page 10 of this report. The 4 -story portion of the addition, referenced as Area 2 in the lateral load section of this report, is the lateral system in which was chosen for analysis. Wind was analyzed using Method 2 of chapter 6 and seismic was analyzed using chapters 11 and 12. It was found that wind blowing in the East-West direction is the controlling factor of the design of the lateral system.

A Beam spot check was conducted on a typical bay, located on the south side of the 2story addition. The results and calculations were very similar to the beam used in design with only a slight variation in the number of shear studs. Discrepancies however occurred in the analysis of a column on the north end of the 4 story addition. This difference may be due to differing design loads or due to the fact that the column supports a braced frame which was not included into the analysis. Lateral loads were not taken into effect for the analysis.

Appendices located at the end of this technical report contain calculations, charts, figures and tables which verify all findings.

## INTRODUCTION



Vicinity Map (Figure 1)
The Grant School has stood in the heart of the George Washington University campus since 1882 and has housed the School Without Walls since 1977. The "School Without Walls" name comes from the manner in which the students are taught. The academic curriculum is set up to encourage students to use the city as an active classroom, thus not restraining learning to the walls of the school.

The original 32,300 square foot, three story school was in dire need to modernize and expand due to the increasing number of students and outdated equipment. The 68,000 square foot addition and modernization, located in blue in figure 4, blends the 19th century School with a modern design by combining brick patterns with glass and metal windows and curtain walls. The existing three story school is made up of four large classrooms per floor, one at each corner of the square building. The new addition of the school provides an additional two large classrooms on each floor, an open atrium space, a large student commons, roof terrace area and a library. The basement was also reengineered and redesigned to serve as scientific laboratories for the school.

The School Without Walls project is expected to receive LEED Gold Certification.
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Pre-modernization and Addition (Figure 2)


Post-modernization and Addition (Figure 3)
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## School Without Walls Addition Area



School Without Walls Addition (Figure 4)
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## STRUCTURAL SYSTEM

## Foundation

The geotechnical engineering study was performed by Thomas L. Brown Associates, P.C. on January 28, 2007. After performing a series of in-situ tests, and after considering the lab test results, anticipated loads, and settlement analyses, a shallow foundation consisting of reinforced cast-in-place spread footings and grade beams was deemed appropriate. Based on the testing and analysis, the footings should be designed for an allowable bearing capacity of 3.0 ksf. Typical footings of the addition are $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ wide by $2^{\prime} 0$ " tall and rest on compacted earth $3^{\prime} 0$ ' below the top of the slab-on-grade. Typical grade beams, located in cyan on figure 6, along the east side of the building are $30 " \times 30^{\prime \prime}$ and are 30 " $\times 24$ " along the south side of the building.


Foundation System (Figure 6)

Due to the increased load and the disruption of earth, underpinning the existing footings of the school became necessary. The area requiring underpinning is shown in orange on figure 5 . The underpinning sequence will be performed in sections no larger than 4 feet wide, approximately spaced 12-15 feet apart.



Exterior Wall of Existing Building (Figure 9)

The-slab-on grade in the original building will be removed and replaced by a 5 " NWC slab-on-grade over gravel, at an elevation of +64.14'. The slab then ramps down into the new addition of the building to an elevation of +62.64 . This change in slab elevation can be viewed in figure 9 . The slab-on-grade of the new addition will be 5 " NWC over a 10 mil vapor retarder and 8 " of free draining granular base.


## Floor System

The floor system of School Without Walls is a composite system. The floor slab of the new addition is $31 / 4$ " LWC topping over a 2 " 20 GA composite steel floor decking, bringing the total floor slab to $51 / 4$ " thick. Along the top flange of the beam, $3 / 4 " x 4$ " long headed shear studs will be used for composite action. Bearing plates, shown in figure 10, are used on the first floor exterior wall of the new addition to carry the load of the beams and joists. Above the first floor, girders span between columns to carry this load.


## Lateral System

The lateral system of School Without Walls works as three different systems due to expansion joints. A 4" expansion joint separates the original school from the addition. A detail of this expansion joint can be observed in figure 13. An expansion joint is used to separate the addition of the school into two lateral systems. The structure supporting the outside terrace, Area 1 acts alone, as well as the structure supporting the library, Area 2. For the discussion of the lateral system, these sectors will be referred to as Area 1, Area 2, and Existing Building, as seen in figure 11 and figure 12.


Separate Lateral Systems to the Building (Figure 11)
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West Elevation Showing Area 1 and Area 2 (Figure 12)


Expansion Joint on Building (Figure 13)
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Columns surrounding the existing school, both in Area 1 and Area 2 sections have moment connections, located in red in figure 14, to resist lateral load. The Area 1 addition of the building utilizes a braced frame system to resist lateral load. The Area 2 addition utilizes a 12" concrete shear wall (located in blue in figure 14) at the stair core, and an 8 " concrete shear wall (located in green in figure 14) at the elevator core. A braced frame is also used along the east exterior face of Area 2.


Lateral Systems (Figure 14)

# Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$ 



Lateral Bracing, Located in Brown (Figure 15)

Roof

First Floor


Lateral Bracing, Located in Yellow (Figure 16)


Lateral Bracing, Located in Purple (Figure 17)
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Lateral Bracing, Located in Orange (Figure 18)

## CODE AND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

## Major Design Codes and Standards

- International Building Code 2000
- District of Columbia Construction Code/ Supplement 2003
- American Concrete Institute (ACI 318-99)
- ASCE- 7 Current Edition
- AISC- ASD $9^{4 h}$ Edition
- AISC- LRFD $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition (Composite Beam Design Only)


## Thesis Codes

- International Building Code 2006
- AISC Steel Construction Manual $13^{\text {th }}$ edition
- American Concrete Institute (ACI 318-05)
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## MATERIALS

## Structural Steel:

Wide Flanges.........................................ASTM A-572 or A-992, Grade 50
Channels, Angles, Plates................................................... ASTM A-36
Hollow Structural Sections (HSS).............................ASTM A-500, Grade B
Pipes............................................ASTM A-53, Type E or S, Grade B

## Metal Decking:

2" Composite Metal Deck..................................................... 20 Gage
Bolts:
High Strength Steel Bolts
ASTM A-325 or ASTM A-490
Anchor Bolts.................................................ASTM F-1554, Grade 36

## Concrete:

Over Composite Metal Deck.............................................fc $=4,000$ psi
Grout for CMU walls..................................................fc $=3,000$ psi
All Concrete Components U.O.N.....................................fc $=4,000 \mathrm{psi}$

## Reinforcing Steel:

Reinforcing Bars.............................................ASTM A-615, Grade 60
Welded Reinforcing..........................................ASTM A-706, Grade 60

## Wood:

All Wood U.O.N
No. 2 Hem-Fir (North)

## LOADS

## Live Loads

| Load Description | Load |
| :--- | :---: |
| Administrative Office | 50 psf |
| Classrooms | 40 psf |
| Corridors Above First Floor | 80 psf |
| First Floor Corridors | 100 psf |
| Student Commons | 100 psf |
| Storage | 125 psf |
| Media Center | 60 psf |
| Stack Room | 150 psf |
| Roof Load | $30 \mathrm{psf}+\mathrm{add} \mathrm{l}$ snow drift |
| Mechanical Room | 150 psf |
| Roof Terrace | 100 psf |
| Stairs | 100 psf |

## Dead Loads

| Load Description | Load |
| :--- | :---: |
| Metal Decking 20 Gage | 3 psf |
| Normal Weight Concrete | 150 pcf |
| Light Weight Concrete | 110 pcf |
| Partitions | 20 psf |
| Finishes | 5 psf |
| M/E/P | 10 psf |

## Snow Loads

| Load Description | Design Load and Factors |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ground Snow Load | $\mathrm{Pg}=25 \mathrm{psf}$ |
| Snow Exposure Factor | $\mathrm{Ce}=0.9$ |
| Snow Importance Factor | $\mathrm{I}=1.1$ |
| Thermal Factor | $\mathrm{Ct}=1.0$ |
| Flat Roof Snow Load | $\mathrm{Pf}=17.3 \mathrm{psf}$ |
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## Lateral Loads

## Wind Loads

For the analysis of wind loads, Area1 and Area 2 were studied as different structures due to the expansion joint separating them.

Area 1 has a height $\mathrm{h}=22.45^{\prime}$, therefore, it is considered a low rise building. Method 1 listed in Chapter 6 of ASCE 7-05 was used to carry out my analysis of this section. The results and details of this analysis are located in Appendix C of this report.

For my wind analysis for Area 2 Method 2 in ASCE 7-05 will be used due to the fact that its mean height is greater than $60^{\prime}$. For the analysis and calculations, the fourth floor is assumed to cover the entire footprint of Area 2. The extended portion of the library is also ignored due to its complex roof structure and its relatively small area. Details of these analyses can be found in Appendix C of this report. The results are located below in this section.

| Classification | Category |
| :---: | :---: |
| V, Basic Wind Speed (Fig. 6-1) | 90 mph |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (Table 6-4) | 0.85 |
| I (Table 6-1) | 1.15 |
| Occupancy Category (Table 1-1) | III |
| Exposure Category | B |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{zt}}$ (Topographic Factor) | 1 |


|  | Level | Actual Height(ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimate } \\ \text { Height (ft) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | Wind Pressures (psf) |  | InternalPressure (psf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N-S | E-W |  |
| Windward | T.O. Roof | 63.61 | 64 | 0.87 | 17.63 | 11.99 | 11.67 | 3.17 |
|  | 4 | 50.95 | 51 | 0.81 | 16.42 | 11.16 | 10.86 | 3.17 |
|  | 3 | 35.7 | 36 | 0.74 | 15.00 | 10.20 | 9.92 | 3.17 |
|  | 2 | 20.45 | 21 | 0.63 | 12.77 | 8.68 | 8.45 | 3.17 |
|  | 1 | 5.25 | 6 | 0.57 | 11.55 | 7.86 | 7.64 | 3.17 |
| Leeward | All | All | All | 0.87 | 17.63 | -3.90 | -7.29 | 3.17 |


7.29 psf

East-West Wind Pressure Diagram (Figure 19)
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| Wind Forces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Load (kip) |  | Shear (kip) |  | Moment |  |
| Level | Trib <br> Height (ft) | Total Load N-S (psf) | Total Load <br> E-W (psf) | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| T.O. Roof | 6.33 | 15.89 | 18.96 | 4.62 | 15.47 | 0 | 0 | 294.35 | 984.66 |
| 4 | 14 | 15.07 | 18.15 | 9.70 | 32.78 | 4.62 | 15.47 | 494.31 | 1670.29 |
| 3 | 15.25 | 14.10 | 17.21 | 9.89 | 33.86 | 14.32 | 48.26 | 353.12 | 1208.92 |
| 2 | 15.25 | 12.58 | 15.74 | 8.82 | 30.96 | 24.22 | 82.12 | 180.52 | 633.16 |
| 1 | 10.25 | 11.76 | 14.93 | 5.54 | 19.74 | 33.04 | 113.08 | 29.10 | 103.66 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.59 | 132.83 | 1351.42 | 4600.71 |



East-West Wind Force Diagram (Figure 21)

38.59 kip

North-South Wind Force Diagram (Figure 22)

As seen from the force diagrams located above, the wind forces that blow in the EastWest direction create the largest loads on the building due to the fact that they are applied to a much larger area than the North-South winds.
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## Seismic Loads

The seismic loads for this tech report were calculated using Chapters 11 and 12 of ASCE 7-05. This seismic analysis includes dead loads from beams, slabs, columns, walls and $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{P}$ equipment. These calculations can be viewed in Appendix B of this report. All assumptions and calculations for the seismic analysis can also be found in Appendix B.

The seismic forces for the School Without Walls project are less than the lateral loads created by wind due to the fact that the building is located in an area with low seismic activity.

| Floor | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x}}$ (kip) | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | k | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | $\sum \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ (kip) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Story Shear } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \text { (kip) } \end{aligned}$ | Moment (k-ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 159.70 | 63.61 | 1.33 | 39996.05 | 224059.6 | 7.29 | -- | 463.84 |
|  | 504.21 | 50.95 | 1.33 | 93997.37 | 224059.6 | 17.13 | 7.29 | 873.14 |
|  | 501.05 | 35.7 | 1.33 | 58201.43 | 224059.6 | 10.61 | 24.42 | 378.81 |
|  | 494.94 | 20.45 | 1.33 | 27402.01 | 224059.6 | 4.99 | 35.04 | 102.16 |
|  | 491.80 | 5.25 | 1.33 | 4462.74 | 224059.6 | 0.81 | 40.03 | 4.27 |
| Total | 2151.72 | 63.61 | 1.33 | 224059.62 | 224059.6 | 40.85 | 40.85 | 1822.24 |

## Conclusion

In the first technical report for the School Without Walls addition and modernization project, the existing structural system and conditions are investigated. This report contains a description of the foundation, floor system, and lateral system. Floor plans, details, elevations and other images are provided to introduce the structure.

Both gravity loads and lateral loads were calculated and determined from ASCE 7-05. Seismic loads which were calculated in this report proved to be relatively small due to the small building footprint, and light weight of construction. My wind analysis also showed that winds coming from the North-South direction created relatively small loads due to the small area in which they act on. The wind coming from the EastWest direction was determined to be my controlling lateral system.

Spot checks were conducted on a beam and a column of my building. The beam checked is part of the floor system supporting the student commons. My calculations of this composite element matched those of the engineer of record. The column in which I analyzed seemed to be conservative in nature. My results may have shown this due to the fact that lateral loads were not considered in my analysis.
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## Appendix A
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## Appendix B

## Beam Weight

## First Floor Beams

| Number of beams | Shape | Weight (lb/ft) | Span (ft) | Total Weight (lb) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | W10x12 | 12 | 5 | 180 |
| 1 | W10x12 | 12 | 3 | 36 |
| 3 | W10x12 | 12 | 6 | 216 |
| 9 | W10x12 | 12 | 7 | 756 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 8 | 192 |
| 3 | W10x12 | 12 | 9 | 324 |
| 3 | W10x12 | 12 | 12 | 432 |
| 4 | W12x14 | 14 | 9 | 504 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 5.5 | 104.5 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 12.5 | 237.5 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 18.5 | 351.5 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 23.5 | 446.5 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 12.5 | 275 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 17 | 374 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 23 | 506 |
| 1 | W16x26 | 26 | 17 | 442 |
| 5 | W16x26 | 26 | 26 | 3380 |
| 1 | W18x35 | 35 | 9.5 | 332.5 |
| 2 | W18x35 | 35 | 15 | 1050 |
| 1 | W18x35 | 35 | 30 | 1050 |
| 2 | W24x53 | 53 | 37 | 3922 |
| 2 | W24x55 | 55 | 7 | 770 |
| 1 | W24x55 | 55 | 18.5 | 1017.5 |
| 1 | W24x55 | 55 | 15.5 | 852.5 |
| 1 | W24x55 | 55 | 23.5 | 1292.5 |
| 2 | W24x68 | 68 | 37 | 5032 |
| 2 | W24x76 | 76 | 37 | 5624 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 37 | 3108 |
|  |  |  |  | 32808 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| Second Floor Beams |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of beams | Shape | Weight (lb/ft) | Span (ft) | Total Weight (lb) |
| 5 | W10x12 | 12 | 5 | 300 |
| 1 | W10x12 | 12 | 6 | 72 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 7 | 168 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 9 | 216 |
| 1 | W10x12 | 12 | 11.5 | 138 |
| 1 | W12x14 | 14 | 6 | 84 |
| 2 | W12x14 | 14 | 12 | 336 |
| 1 | W12x14 | 14 | 14 | 196 |
| 1 | W12x16 | 16 | 12 | 192 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 14 | 266 |
| 2 | W14x22 | 22 | 7 | 308 |
| 4 | W14x22 | 22 | 10 | 880 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 17 | 374 |
| 2 | W16x26 | 26 | 4 | 208 |
| 2 | W16x26 | 26 | 6 | 312 |
| 1 | W16x26 | 26 | 16 | 416 |
| 1 | W16x26 | 26 | 17 | 442 |
| 5 | W16x26 | 26 | 26 | 3380 |
| 1 | W16x35 | 35 | 23.5 | 822.5 |
| 1 | W18x35 | 35 | 18.5 | 647.5 |
| 2 | W18x35 | 35 | 21.5 | 1505 |
| 5 | W18x35 | 35 | 37 | 6475 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 37 | 1628 |
| 1 | W21x50 | 50 | 18.5 | 925 |
| 1 | W21x50 | 50 | 26 | 1300 |
| 1 | W24x55 | 55 | 30 | 1650 |
| 1 | W24x62 | 62 | 37 | 2294 |
| 1 | W24x68 | 68 | 37 | 2516 |
| 1 | W24x76 | 76 | 30.5 | 2318 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 29.5 | 2478 |
|  | W27x84 | 84 | 37 | 3108 |
|  |  |  | 35955 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Third Floor Beams |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of beams | Shape | Weight (lb/ft) | Span (ft) | Total Weight (lb) |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 7 | 168 |
| 4 | W10x12 | 12 | 9 | 432 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 9.5 | 228 |
| 1 | W10x12 | 12 | 11 | 132 |
| 1 | W10x22 | 22 | 12 | 264 |
| 1 | W10x22 | 22 | 12.5 | 275 |
| 2 | W12x14 | 14 | 12 | 336 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 9.5 | 209 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 17 | 374 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 12.5 | 275 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 18.5 | 407 |
| 2 | W16x26 | 26 | 6 | 312 |
| 5 | W16x26 | 26 | 26 | 3380 |
| 1 | W16x26 | 26 | 16 | 416 |
| 1 | W18x35 | 35 | 17 | 595 |
| 2 | W18x35 | 35 | 21.5 | 1505 |
| 2 | W18x35 | 35 | 34.5 | 2415 |
| 1 | W18x40 | 40 | 18.5 | 740 |
| 1 | W18x40 | 40 | 37 | 1480 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 23.5 | 1034 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 30.5 | 1342 |
| 1 | W21x50 | 50 | 18.5 | 925 |
| 2 | W24x55 | 55 | 7 | 770 |
| 1 | W24x55 | 55 | 26 | 1430 |
| 3 | W24x55 | 55 | 37 | 6105 |
| 1 | W24x62 | 62 | 30 | 1860 |
| 1 | W24x62 | 62 | 35 | 2170 |
| 1 | W24x76 | 76 | 30.5 | 2318 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 7 | 588 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 29.5 | 2478 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 37 | 3108 |
| 1 | C15x33.9 | 33.9 | 21.5 | 728.85 |
| 1 | C15x33.9 | 33.9 | 28.5 | 966.15 |
| 1 | HSS12x8x1/2 | 62.33 | 7 | 436.31 |
|  | 62.33 | 24 | 1495.92 |  |
|  | 62.33 | 30 | 1869.9 |  |
|  |  |  | 4438.13 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Shaun Kreidel
Structural Option
AE Consultant: Dr. Linda Hanagan
10/5/09

School Without Walls
Washington D.C.

Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

| Fourth Floor Beams |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of beams | Shape | Weight (lb/ft) | Span (ft) | Total Weight (lb) |
| 3 | W10x12 | 12 | 7 | 252 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 9 | 216 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 10 | 240 |
| 1 | W10x12 | 12 | 12.5 | 150 |
| 2 | W12x14 | 14 | 14 | 392 |
| 1 | W12x14 | 14 | 19.5 | 273 |
| 3 | W12x19 | 19 | 8 | 456 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 12 | 228 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 12.5 | 237.5 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 13 | 247 |
| 2 | W12x22 | 22 | 9 | 396 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 12.5 | 275 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 17 | 374 |
| 1 | W16x26 | 26 | 24 | 624 |
| 4 | W16x31 | 31 | 26 | 3224 |
| 2 | W18x35 | 35 | 37 | 2590 |
| 1 | W18x40 | 40 | 21.5 | 860 |
| 1 | W18x40 | 40 | 26 | 1040 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 17 | 748 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 21.5 | 946 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 27 | 1188 |
| 1 | W21x50 | 50 | 30.5 | 1525 |
| 1 | W24x55 | 55 | 18.5 | 1017.5 |
| 1 | W24x62 | 62 | 37 | 2294 |
| 1 | W24x68 | 68 | 30.5 | 2074 |
| 2 | W24x68 | 68 | 37 | 5032 |
| 1 | W24x76 | 76 | 37 | 2812 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 23.5 | 1974 |
| 1 | W27x84 | 84 | 30.5 | 2562 |
| 1 | W30x99 | 99 | 18.5 | 1831.5 |
| 1 | W30x99 | 99 | 29.5 | 2920.5 |
| 1 | W30x99 | 99 | 37 | 3663 |
| 1 | W30x116 | 116 | 37 | 4292 |
| 2 | HSS $12 \times 6 \times 3 / 8$ | 42.72 | 5 | 427.2 |
| 1 | HSS $12 \times 6 \times 3 / 8$ | 42.72 | 16 | 683.52 |
|  |  |  |  | 49186.72 |
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| Roof Beams |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of beams | Shape | Weight (lb/ft) | Span (ft) | Total Weight (lb) |
| 7 | W10x12 | 12 | 5 | 420 |
| 8 | W10x12 | 12 | 6 | 576 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 7.5 | 180 |
| 1 | W10x12 | 12 | 9 | 108 |
| 2 | W10x12 | 12 | 12 | 288 |
| 6 | W10x12 | 12 | 13 | 936 |
| 10 | W12x14 | 14 | 5 | 700 |
| 6 | W12x14 | 14 | 13 | 1092 |
| 4 | W12x14 | 14 | 14 | 784 |
| 4 | W12x14 | 14 | 24 | 1344 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 8.5 | 161.5 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 13 | 247 |
| 1 | W12x19 | 19 | 15 | 285 |
| 1 | W12x26 | 26 | 12 | 312 |
| 2 | W12x26 | 26 | 24 | 1248 |
| 1 | W12x58 | 58 | 24 | 1392 |
| 2 | W12x65 | 65 | 38 | 4940 |
| 1 | W14x22 | 22 | 10 | 220 |
| 1 | W16x31 | 31 | 24 | 744 |
| 1 | W16x36 | 36 | 25 | 900 |
| 1 | W18x35 | 35 | 37 | 1295 |
| 1 | W18x40 | 40 | 24.5 | 980 |
| 1 | W18x40 | 40 | 30.5 | 1220 |
| 3 | W18x40 | 40 | 37 | 4440 |
| 1 | W18x46 | 46 | 18.5 | 851 |
| 1 | W21x44 | 44 | 30.5 | 1342 |
| 1 | HSS6x6x3/8 | 27.41 | 24 | 657.84 |
| 2 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 | 24 | 1805.28 |
|  |  |  |  | 29468.62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total Weight of Beams (lb) 191766.47
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

## Slab Weight

| Floor | Area | Weight Concrete <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}\right)$ | Thickness of <br> Slab $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Decking <br> Weight $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | Total <br> Weight $(\mathrm{lb})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5445 | 110 | 0.270833333 | 3 | 178550.625 |
| 2 | 5445 | 110 | 0.270833333 | 3 | 178550.625 |
| 3 | 5445 | 110 | 0.270833333 | 3 | 178550.625 |
| 4 | 5445 | 110 | 0.270833333 | 3 | 178550.625 |
|  | 21780 |  |  |  | 714202.5 |


|  | Area | Weight $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | Total Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 3256 | 40 | 130240 |
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

## Columns

| Column | Size | Weight (lb/ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-1 | W12x65 | 65 |
| A-1.5 | HSS6x6x3/8 | 27.41 |
| A.3-1.5 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 |
| A.3-2.5 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 |
| A-2 | W12x96 | 96 |
| A-2 | W12x65 | 65 |
| A-3 | W12x96 | 96 |
| A-3 | W12x65 | 65 |
| A-4 | W12x65 | 65 |
| A-4 | W12x40 | 40 |
| A-7 | W12x40 | 40 |
| A.5-7 | W12x40 | 40 |
| B-1 | W12x40 | 40 |
| B-1.1 | W12x53 | 53 |
| B-1.5 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 |
| B-2 | W12x65 | 65 |
| B-2 | W12x40 | 40 |
| B-2.5 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 |
| B-3 | W12x65 | 65 |
| B-3 | W12x40 | 40 |
| B-4 | W12x53 | 53 |
| B-4 | W12x40 | 40 |
| B-5.2 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 |
| B-7 | HSS8x8x3/8 | 37.61 |
| C.1-5 | W12x45 | 45 |
| C.1-7 | W12x40 | 40 |


| Floor Height (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| First -Second | 15.25 |
| Second-Third | 15.25 |
| Third-Fourth | 15.25 |
| Fourth-Flat Roof | 12.25 |
| Fourth-Pitched Roof | 19 |
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School Without Walls
Washington D.C.

## Technical Assignment 1

| Column Weights (lb) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Floor | Second Floor | Third Floor | Fourth Floor |
| 991.25 | 991.25 | 991.25 | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 335.7725 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 714.59 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 714.59 |
| 1464 | 1464 | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | 991.25 | 796.25 |
| 1464 | 1464 | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | 991.25 | 796.25 |
| 991.25 | 991.25 | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | 610 | 490 |
| 610 | 610 | 610 | N/A |
| 610 | 610 | 610 | 490 |
| 610 | 610 | 610 | N/A |
| 808.25 | 808.25 | 808.25 | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 714.59 |
| 991.25 | 991.25 | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | 610 | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 714.59 |
| 991.25 | 991.25 | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | 610 | 490 |
| 808.25 | 808.25 | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | 610 | 490 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 460.7225 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | 460.7225 |
| 686.25 | 686.25 | 686.25 | N/A |
| 610 | 610 | 610 | N/A |
| 11635.75 | 11635.75 | 9348.25 | 7668.0775 |
|  |  |  |  |

Total Column Weight (lb) 40287.83
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## Wall Loads

| Floor | Area | Weight (lb/ft $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | Weight of Wall (lb) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2607.75 | 30 | 78232.5 |
| 2 | 2607.75 | 30 | 78232.5 |
| 3 | 2607.75 | 30 | 78232.5 |
| 4 | 2607.75 | 30 | 78232.5 |
|  |  |  | 312930 |

## Additional Loads

| Floor | Area | Partitions (lb/ft $\left.\mathrm{t}^{2}\right)$ | Finishes <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{P}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | Total Weight <br> $(\mathrm{lb})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5445 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 190575 |
| 2 | 5445 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 190575 |
| 3 | 5445 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 190575 |
| 4 | 5445 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 190575 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 762300 |

## Total Building Weight of Area 2

Total Building Weight (kip) 2150
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

## Seismic Calculation
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## Technical Assignment 1



## Appendix C

## Area 1

Values for my wind analysis were determined from Method 1 of ASCE 7-05. Horizontal and vertical pressures can be located in the table below. These pressures were adjusted using the equation $p_{s}=\lambda K_{z z} I p_{s 30}$. The adjusted values can easily be applied to figure located on page 38.

| Main Wind Force Resisting System - Method 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{h} \leq 60 \mathrm{ft}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 6-2 (cont'd) <br> Enclosed Buildings |  | Design Wind Pressures |  |  |  |  |  | Walls \& Roofs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Simplified Design Wind Pressure, $\mathbf{p s 3 0}^{\text {(psf) }}$ (Exposure B at $h=30 \mathrm{ft}$., $K_{z t}=1.0$, with $I=1.0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Wind Speed (mph) | Roof <br> Angle (degrees) |  | Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Horizontal Pressures |  |  |  | Vertical Pressures |  |  |  | Overhangs |  |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | EOH | GOH |
|  | 0 to $5^{\circ}$ | 1 | 11.5 | -5.9 | 7.6 | -3.5 | -13.8 | .7.8 | -9.6 | -6.1 | -19.3 | -15.1 |
|  | $10^{\circ}$ | 1 | 12.9 | -5.4 | 8.6 | -3.1 | -13.8 | -8.4 | -9.6 | -6.5 | -19.3 | -15.1 |
|  | $15^{\circ}$ | 1 | 14.4 | -4.8 | 9.6 | -2.7 | -13.8 | -9.0 | -9.6 | -6.9 | -19.3 | -15.1 |
| 85 | $20^{\circ}$ | 1 | 15.9 | -4.2 | 10.6 | -2.3 | -13.8 | -9.6 | -9.6 | .7.3 | -19.3 | -15.1 |
|  | $25^{\circ}$ | 1 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 10.4 | 2.4 | -6.4 | -8.7 | -4.6 | -7.0 | -11.9 | -10.1 |
|  |  | 2 | ....... | ....... | ....... | ....... | -2.4 | -4.7 | -0.7 | -3.0 | ....... | ....... |
|  | 30 to 45 | 1 | 12.9 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 7.0 | 1.0 | -7.8 | 0.3 | -6.7 | -4.5 | -5.2 |
|  |  | 2 | 12.9 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 7.0 | 5.0 | -3.9 | 4.3 | -2.8 | -4.5 | -5.2 |
|  | 0 to $5^{\circ}$ | 1 | 12.8 | . 6.7. | 8.5 | -4.0. | . 25.4 | -8.8.8 | . 10.7 | -6.8 | -21.6 | -16.9 |
|  | $10^{\circ}$ | 1 | 14.5 | -6.0 | 9.6 | -3.5 | -15.4 | -9.4 | $\cdot 10.7$ | -7.2 | -21.6 | -16.9 |
|  | $15^{\circ}$ | 1 | 16.1 | -5.4 | 10.7 | -3.0 | -15.4 | -10.1 | $\cdot 10.7$ | .7.7 | -21.6 | -16.9 |
| 90 | $20^{\circ}$ | 1 | 17.8 | -4.7 | 11.9 | -2.6 | -15.4 | -10.7 | -10.7 | -8.1 | -21.6 | -16.9 |
|  | $25^{\circ}$ | 1 | 16.1 | 2.6 | 11.7 | 2.7 | -7.2 | -9.8 | -5.2 | -7.8 | -13.3 | -11.4 |
|  |  | 2 |  | ....... | $\ldots$ | ....... | -2.7 | -5.3 | -0.7 | -3.4 |  |  |
|  | 30 to 45 | 1 | $14.4$ | $9.9$ | $11.5$ | 7.9 | 1.1 | -8.8 | 0.4 | -7.5 | -5.1 | -5.8 |
|  |  | 2 | $14.4$ | $9.9$ | $11.5$ | 7.9 |  | -4.3 | 4.8 |  | -5.1 |  |

Shaun Kreidel
Structural Option
AE Consultant: Dr. Linda Hanagan
10/5/09

## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

| Horizontal Pressures (psf) |  |  |  | Vertical Pressures (pst) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| 12.8 | -6.7 | 8.5 | -4.0 | -15.4 | -8.8 | -10.7 | -6.8 |
| Adjusted Pressures (psf) |  |  |  | Adjusted Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |
| 14.7 | -7.7 | 9.8 | -4.6 | 17.71 | -10.1 | -12.3 | -7.8 |
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

## Area 2
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$



|  | Wind Direction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N-S | E-W |
| Stiffness | Rigid | Rigid |
| B (feet) | 46 | 129 |
| L (feet) | 129 | 46 |
| h (feet) | 64.3 | 64.3 |
| $\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{q}}$ | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| z feet) | 38.6 | 38.6 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 0.292 | 0.292 |
| c | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 337.16 | 337.16 |
| l (feet) | 320 | 320 |
| E | $1 / 3.0$ | $1 / 3.0$ |
| Q | 0.873 | 0.832 |
| G | 0.851 | 0.827 |


|  | N-S | E-W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Windward | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Leeward | -0.26 | -0.5 |
| Sidewall | -0.7 | -0.7 |
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

## Appendix D
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}^{\mathbf{1}}$
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}$

```
CHECL BOTTOM FLOOR
    P}=37
    W/12\times96 }\mp@subsup{A}{g}{}=28.2\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{2
        Fr}=833\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{4}\quad\mp@subsup{r}{x}{}=5.44\textrm{m
        I}=270.\mp@subsup{\textrm{n}}{}{4}\quad\mp@subsup{r}{y}{}=3.09.\textrm{m
        h=15.25
```

            \(\frac{K_{L}}{r_{4}}=59.22<113\) EASTIC
            \(F_{c r}={ }_{.658} F_{1} / F_{c} F_{y} \quad F_{e}=\frac{\pi^{2}(29000)}{(59.22)^{2}}=81.6\)
            \(F_{\text {cr }}=38.7\)
            \(\phi P_{n}=9(38.7)(28.2)=981.9^{k}\)
                        \(P_{n}=386.32 \ll 981.9: O K\)
                COLUMN SIZES are extremely conservative
                possirle hoad design differences
                EXTRA LOAD FROM braced frame
    | Floor | Tributary <br> Area $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | Dead Load <br> $(\mathrm{psf})$ | Live Load <br> $(\mathrm{psf})$ | Live Load <br> $(\mathrm{k})$ | Dead Load <br> $(\mathrm{k})$ | Load <br> Combination <br> $(\mathrm{k})$ | Total Load <br> $(\mathrm{k})$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Roof | 440 | 65 | 30 | 13.2 | 28.6 | 55.44 | 55.44 |
| 4 | 440 | 80 | 100 | 44 | 35.2 | 112.64 | 168.08 |
| 3 | 440 | 80 | 40 | 17.6 | 35.2 | 70.4 | 238.48 |
| 2 | 440 | 80 | 40 | 17.6 | 35.2 | 70.4 | 308.88 |
| 1 | 440 | 80 | 50 | 22 | 35.2 | 77.44 | 386.32 |
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## Technical Assignment $\mathbb{1}^{1}$

## Appendix E



ASCE 7-05 Snow Drift Figure (Figure 25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FLAT ROOF SNOW LOADS } \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \rho_{f}=.7 C_{e} C_{t} I \rho_{g} \quad \text { (EON 7-1) } \\
& C_{e}=.9 \\
& \rho_{g}=25 \\
& C_{t}=1.0 \\
& I=1.1
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p_{f}=17.3 \text { psf STRUCTURE DESIGNED FOR } 19 \text { psf }
$$

$$
\text { DIFFERENCE IN ROOF HEIGHT } 30.5^{\circ} \text { (DRIFT ON ROOF TERPALE) }
$$

$$
\gamma=.13(17.3)+14=16.25 p c f<30 p c f
$$

$$
\text { LEEWARD }{ }^{Q_{d}} h_{d}=.43 \sqrt[3]{l_{0}} \sqrt[4]{\rho_{j}+10}-1.5
$$

LEEWARD DRIFT,
$l_{\text {umer e }}=46^{\circ}$
$h_{d}=.43 \sqrt[3]{46} \sqrt[4]{37.3}-1.5=2.3^{1}$
WINDWARD DRET
h HoER ee $^{2}=90^{\circ}$
$h_{d}=.75(.43) \sqrt[3]{90} \sqrt[3]{37.3}-1.5=2.1^{\prime}$
$h_{b}=p f / \gamma=1.06$
$h_{c}=29.5^{\prime}$
$\omega=\left.\right|_{-n} ^{8\left(h_{c}\right)=} \begin{aligned} & 236 \\ & 4\left(h_{a}\right)= \\ & 4(2.3)=9.2 \mathrm{ft}\end{aligned}$
$\omega=\left(h_{\text {dnft }}+h_{b}\right) \gamma=2.88(16.25)=46.8$ psf @ HIGH END
$\omega=17.3 \mathrm{psf} @$ LOU END

